

# Impact of particle size reduction on feed cost and feed efficiency<sup>1</sup>

O. J. Rojas and H. H. Stein

Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois, 1207 W Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801 USA; *Email*: hstein@illinois.edu

<sup>1</sup>Financial support for this research was provided by the National Pork Board (Des Moines, IA). Appreciation is also extended to Pioneer Hi-Bred (Johnston, IA) for donation of the corn grain used in these experiments and for grinding the grain to the specified particle sizes.

## Introduction

Processing of feed ingredients or diets may increase nutrient digestibility (Hancock and Behnke, 2001; NRC, 2012). One of the main purposes of grinding of feed ingredients is to reduce the particle size, which may increase nutrient digestibility (Fastinger and Mahan, 2003) and increase growth performance. Thus, grinding is used to increase the nutritional value of ingredients. It has been reported that pigs or sows fed corn ground to a fine particle had a greater energy, DM, and N digestibility than pigs or sows fed corn ground to a coarser particle size (Healy et al., 1994; Wondra et al., 1995a-d). Reduction of particle size is accomplished with the use of different types of mills. The most common mills used in the industry are roller mills and hammer mills. Ingredients such as distillers dried grains with solubles (**DDGS**) and soybean meal (**SBM**) are often

---

---

ground during the production process, and in most cases, no further grinding is needed for these ingredients before diets are mixed. In contrast, cereal grains and pulse crops are usually not ground prior to entering the feed mill, and these ingredients need to be ground.

Therefore, the objective of this manuscript is to summarize the results of 5 experiments on the concentration of ME, the standardized total tract digestibility of P, the apparent ileal digestibility of starch and GE, and the standardized ileal digestibility of AA and CP in corn ground to different particle sizes and their subsequent effect on pig growth performance and carcass characteristics.

## **Materials and methods**

Five experiments were conducted. The same batch of corn (Pioneer P0528) was used in all diets in all experiments and the corn was grown in IA in 2011. The grain was milled at the Pioneer Hi-Bred Feed Mill in Johnston, IA, and stored at 15°C until used (Table 1). The corn grain was first rolled using an automatic roller mill (Model CSU 500, 2 stage; Automatic Equipment Mfg. Co., Pender, NE). Four average final particle sizes of 865, 677, 485, and 339  $\mu\text{m}$  were obtained after the rolled grain was divided into 4 batches that were ground using a hammer mill (Model #EL-9506-TF; Bliss Industries, Ponca City, OK) with 40, 24, 10, or 3 mm screens, respectively.

### ***Exp. 1: Ileal Digestibility of CP, AA, Starch, and GE***

Experiment 1 was designed to determine the SID of CP and AA and the AID of starch and GE in the 4 batches of corn ground to different particle sizes. Ten

growing barrows (initial BW:  $29.2 \pm 1.35$  kg) were equipped with a T-cannula in the distal ileum according to procedures adapted from Stein et al. (1998). Pigs were allotted to a replicated  $5 \times 5$  Latin square design with 5 diets and 5 periods in each square.

### ***Exp. 2: Total Tract Digestibility of GE and P***

Experiment 2 was designed to determine the concentration of ME, the ATTD of GE, and the STTD of P in the 4 batches of corn that were used in Exp. 1. Forty barrows (initial BW  $22.8 \pm 2.13$  kg) were allotted to a randomized complete block design with 4 diets and 10 replicate pigs per diet and placed in metabolism cages, which allowed for the total, but separate, collection of urine and fecal materials from each pig.

### ***Exp. 3: Effects of Corn Particle Size on Performance of Weanling Pigs***

Experiment 3 was designed to test the hypothesis that the G:F is improved if diets fed to weanling pigs contain corn ground to a smaller rather than a greater particle size. A total of 128 weaned pigs with an average initial BW of  $9.41 \pm 1.54$  kg were randomly allotted to 4 experimental treatment diets that were fed for 3 weeks. Diets were formulated using corn that was ground to the 4 different particle sizes (i.e., 865, 677, 485, 339  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and diets were formulated using values for ME, standardized total tract digestibility of P, and standardized ileal digestibility of CP and AA for each particle size that were calculated in Exp. 1 and 2. The 4 diets were based on corn, SBM, soybean oil, and fish meal, and all diets were formulated to meet or exceed current nutrient requirements (NRC, 2012).

The only difference between the 4 diets was that corn ground to a mean particle size of 865, 677, 485, or 339  $\mu\text{m}$  were used in the 4 treatment diets. It was assumed that the ME in the 4 sources of corn was 3,311, 3,346, 3,371, and 3,432, respectively.

***Exp. 4: Effects of Reducing Fat Addition in Diets Containing Corn Ground to a Finer Particle Size***

Experiment 4 was designed to test the hypothesis that dietary concentrations of soybean oil may be reduced if corn is ground to a finer particle size without reducing pig performance. A total of 128 pigs that had been weaned for 14 d and had an average initial BW of  $9.95 \pm 1.95$  kg were used. Pigs were allotted to 4 treatment diets in a randomized complete block design; experimental diets were provided on an ad libitum basis for 3 weeks. Diets were formulated using the values of energy and nutrient digestibility as explained for Exp. 3, but in contrast to the diets used in Exp. 3, diet ME values were adjusted by reducing the amount of soybean oil in the diets as the particle size of the corn used in the diets was reduced. The assumed ME for soybean oil was 8,400 kcal/kg (NRC, 1998). By adjusting the inclusion of soybean oil, all diets were formulated to contain 3,413 kcal ME/kg.

***Exp. 5: Growth Performance of Growing Finishing Pigs***

In experiment 5, a total of 36 gilts and 36 barrows with an average initial BW of  $32.00 \pm 1.58$  kg were housed individually and used in a 3-phase growing–finishing experiment. Feed and water were provided on an ad libitum basis

throughout the experiment. Diets were formulated as explained for Exp. 4 and within each phase, a constant ME was maintained by reducing the inclusion of soybean oil as the particle size was reduced..

## Results and discussion

### *Exp. 1: Ileal Digestibility of CP, AA, Starch, and GE*

The AID of starch and GE increased (linear,  $P < 0.05$ ) as the particle size decreased from 865 to 339  $\mu\text{m}$  (Table 2), The SID of CP and all indispensable and dispensable AA was not affected by the particle size of corn (data not shown). The average SID of indispensable and dispensable AA was also not different among diets. The fact that particle size of corn did not influence the SID of AA concurs with observations by Fastinger and Mahan (2003) who reported that a reduction in particle size of soybean meal from 949 to 185  $\mu\text{m}$  has no effect on the SID of indispensable AA. In contrast, the SID of AA in lupins increases as particle size decreases (Kim et al., 2009).

Values for the AID of starch that were observed in this experiment for corn ground to 677 or 485  $\mu\text{m}$  concur with values reported by Everts et al. (1996) and Cervantes-Pahm et al. (2014). Starch is the main form of energy storage in grains (Liu, 2012) and it is mainly digested in the small intestine. However, there is a portion of the starch that is not well digested, and this starch will be fermented in the large intestine (Champ, 2004). The concentration of starch in corn used in this experiment concurs with values reported by Li et al. (2006). The increase in the AID of GE and starch in corn that was observed as particle size decreased is likely a result of increased access to the starch granules for  $\alpha$ -

amylase, which increases starch digestibility (Reece et al., 1985; Kim et al., 2002; Fastinger and Mahan, 2003). The reduced surface area of grain ground to the greater particle size may have contributed to the reduced access for enzymes (Al-Rabadi et al., 2009).

### ***Exp. 2: Total Tract Digestibility of GE and P***

The ME concentration, calculated on an as-fed or on a DM basis, increased from 3,311 to 3,432 kcal/kg and from 3,826 to 3,964 kcal/kg, respectively, when corn particle size decreased from 865 to 339  $\mu\text{m}$ . Likewise, the STTD of P did not change as particle size of corn changed.

The concentration of ME in corn observed in this experiment concurs with reported values (Widmer et al., 2007; NRC, 2012; Rojas and Stein, 2013) and the ATTD of GE was also in good agreement with reported values (Pedersen et al., 2007; Baker and Stein, 2009). The ATTD of GE in DDGS and the concentration of ME also increased when pigs were fed DDGS ground to 308  $\mu\text{m}$  compared with pigs fed DDGS ground to 818  $\mu\text{m}$  (Liu et al., 2012). In contrast, if the particle size of lupins is decreased from 1304 to 567  $\mu\text{m}$ , the ATTD of energy is not affected (Kim et al., 2009). It is not clear why there is this difference among feed ingredients. The observation that there is no difference in GE excreted in the urine amount treatments indicates that the entire improvement in ME of corn that was observed as particle size was reduced is due to the increase in energy digestibility.

The STTD of P in corn was not affected by the that was calculated in this experiment is in agreement with values reported by Li et al. (2013) and NRC (2012). The observation that particle size did not affect the STTD of P in corn

also concurs with observations by Liu et al. (2012), who reported that reduction of particle size in distillers dried grains with solubles did not influence the ATTD of P. Thus, it appears that reduction in particle size or increases in surface area are not effective in improving P digestibility in pigs. The reason may be that to increase P digestibility in corn, the enzyme phytase is needed and pigs do not secrete phytase in the small intestine.

### ***Exp. 3: Maintaining Ingredient Composition among Diets***

In Exp. 3, there were no differences in the initial or the final BW among dietary treatments and ADG was also not different among treatments (Table 3). In contrast, ADFI increased and values for G:F were reduced, as the particle size of corn increased (linear,  $P < 0.05$ ). This result is in agreement with previous data (Healy et al., 1994; Wondra et al., 1995b). The increased ADFI observed in pigs fed diets containing corn ground to a greater particle size represents an attempt by the pigs to compensate for the reduction in ME in diets containing the corn with greater particle size. This observation concurs with values reported by Healy et al. (1994) and Mavromichalis et al. (2000). The increased G:F that was observed as particle size of corn was reduced was expected because corn ground to a smaller particle size contains more ME than corn ground to a greater particle size as indicated in Exp. 2. A similar observation was reported by Wondra et al., 1995a.

### ***Exp. 4: Maintaining Calculated ME among Diets***

In Exp. 4, the initial and the final BW among dietary treatments were not different (Table 4). Likewise, no differences among treatments were observed for ADG and ADFI, but G:F was reduced (linear,  $P < 0.05$ ) as corn particle size increased. This observation indicates that the increased addition of soybean oil to diets containing corn ground to a greater particle size was not effective in fully compensating for the reduction in ME of the corn ground to the coarser particle size. It is possible that the reason the added soybean oil did not fully compensate for the reduced ME in coarsely ground corn is that weaned pigs are limited in the utilization of fat (Tokach et al., 1995). Weanling pigs may have reduced digestibility of fat compared with older pigs because of reduced secretion of lipase (Cera et al., 1990) or because older pigs have a greater lipid deposition than younger pigs (de Lange et al., 2001). If that is the case then we may have overestimated the ME of fat in this experiment, which explains why we were not able to maintain a constant G:F among pigs fed the 4 experimental diets.

#### ***Exp. 5: Growth Performance of Growing Finishing Pigs***

**Growth Performance.** The starting weight and the final weight were not different among dietary treatments (Table 5). Likewise, no differences among treatments were observed for overall ADG and ADFI. There was an increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in G:F for the overall experiment in gilts when corn particle size was increased, but this was not the case if corn particle size was increased in diets fed to barrows (interaction,  $P < 0.05$ ). However, when G:F was calculated on the basis of HCW, no differences were observed as particle size decreased from 865 to 339  $\mu\text{m}$ . The reason for the difference in G:F when calculated based on live weight, therefore, appears to be that the empty viscera weight was greater (linear,  $P <$

0.05) for pigs fed corn ground to 865  $\mu\text{m}$  compared with pigs fed corn ground to 339  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Carcass Characteristics.** There were no differences in live BW or HCW among dietary treatments. However, the dressing percentage was reduced (linear,  $P < 0.01$ ) as corn particle size increased. The back fat was not different among dietary treatments. The weight of the empty viscera increased (linear,  $P < 0.01$ ) as corn particle size increased and the reduction in dressing percentage that was observed as pigs were fed diets containing corn ground to a greater particle size is partly due to an increase in the intestinal weight. This observation is in agreement with data by Wondra et al. (1995a).

**pH and Volatile Fatty Acid Concentration.** The pH in the cecal and colon contents was reduced (linear,  $P < 0.01$ ) as the particle size of corn increased. Likewise, the concentration of acetate, propionate, and butyrate in cecal contents increased (linear,  $P < 0.01$ ) as corn particle size increased. In contrast, the concentration of isobutyrate and isovalerate was reduced (linear,  $P < 0.05$ ) as the particle size increased from 339 to 865  $\mu\text{m}$ , but the concentration of valerate was not different among diets.

The reduction in pH of cecal and colon contents that were observed as corn particle size was increased indicates that more VFA were produced as corn particle size increased. This observation concurs with data reported in lupins ground to different particle sizes (Kim et al., 2009). It is, therefore, likely that less fermentation took place in the hindgut of pigs fed the diets containing corn ground to the smaller particle sizes compared with corn ground to the greater

particle sizes. These data are in agreement with results by Callan et al. (2007) and indicated that fermentation was increased as particle size increases. This is most likely a result of increased starch fermentation in the hindgut because the apparent ileal digestibility of starch is reduced as particle size increased, which in turn results in increased substrate for the microbes for fermentation in the hindgut. The increased microbial activity is also demonstrated by the reduction in cecal and colonic digesta pH. An increase in intestinal weight as a result of increased fermentation was also reported by Kass et al. (1980), and is likely a result of increased microbial activity in the hindgut of pigs fed diet containing corn ground to a greater particle size.

### ***Ulcer and Parakeratosis***

There were no incidences of ulcers in the stomachs of pigs fed diets containing corn ground to different particle sizes (Table 6). However, some level of parakeratosis was observed in the pars oesophagae region in the stomach. For pigs fed a diet containing corn ground to a mean particle size of 865  $\mu\text{m}$ , only 50% of the pigs developed, but the incidence of parakeratosis increased as particle size of corn decreased.

The observation that there were no differences in ADG among treatments confirms that the differences in ME among the 4 batches of corn can be used in diet formulations. By formulating diets to a constant ME, the amount of added fat could be reduced as particle size of corn was reduced. The observation that there were no differences among diets in animal growth performance despite the differences in inclusion of soybean oil in the diets, indicates that diet costs can be reduced if corn is ground to a finer particle size. However, if pigs are fed corn

ground to 1,000  $\mu\text{m}$  rather than 400  $\mu\text{m}$  and energy is not balanced, there is a reduced ADFI and increased G:F in pig fed corn ground to 400  $\mu\text{m}$  compared with pigs fed to 1,000  $\mu\text{m}$ . This is likely a result of the greater energy value in corn ground to 400  $\mu\text{m}$  compared with corn ground to 1,000  $\mu\text{m}$  (Wondra et al., 1995a). Similar improvements in G:F was also observed when finishing pigs were fed wheat that was ground to 600  $\mu\text{m}$  compared with pigs fed wheat ground to 1,300  $\mu\text{m}$ . (Mavromichalis et al., 2000).

The pars oesophagea region is one of the 4 regions in the pig stomach (Yen, 2001) and the region that has the greatest risk of developing gastric ulcers if pigs are fed ingredients with a reduced particle size. The reason for this risk is that there is no synthesis of protective mucus in the pars oesophagea region (Mahan et al., 1966; Maxwell et al., 1970; Varum et al., 2010). Pigs fed corn ground to 1,200  $\mu\text{m}$  have less ulcers and keratinization in the esophageal region compared with pigs fed corn ground to 400  $\mu\text{m}$  (Wondra et al., 1995a). Likewise, data for sows indicate that ulcers and parakeratosis development increase as particle size of corn decreases from 1,200 to 400  $\mu\text{m}$  (Wondra et al., 1995a). However, the increased incidence of parakeratosis in pigs fed diets containing corn ground to a smaller particle size did not affect pig growth performance. This observation concurs with data indicating that G:F is not affected in pigs fed diets containing wheat ground to 600  $\mu\text{m}$  even though those pigs had more parakeratosis in the pars oesophagea region compared with pigs fed diets containing wheat ground to 1,300  $\mu\text{m}$  (Mavromichalis et al., 2000).

## Conclusions

Reduction of particle size of corn from 865 to 339  $\mu\text{m}$  linearly increased the AID of starch and GE and the concentration of ME in corn. However, there were no effects of corn particle size on the STTD of P or the SID of indispensable AA and CP. Therefore, the G:F of weanling pigs is improved if diets contain corn ground to a particle size of 399  $\mu\text{m}$  rather than a greater particle size, which indicates that the ME of finely ground corn is greater than the ME of more coarsely ground corn. As a consequence, the inclusion of dietary fat may be reduced if corn is ground to a finer particle size, but the amount of fat that may be removed from the diets without reducing pig growth performance remains to be determined. For growing-finishing pigs, the increased concentration of ME in finely ground corn makes it possible to reduce the inclusion of added lipids in diets containing finely ground corn, which will result in reduced diet costs and improved profits. Results of the growth performance experiment confirmed this hypothesis and also indicated that the dressing percentage is improved if diets contain corn ground to a reduced particle size. However, pigs fed diets containing corn ground to smaller particle size developed some level of parakeratosis, but this did not affect the G:F.

## Tables

**Table 1.** Chemical and physical composition of corn with different particle sizes, as-fed basis

Item	Corn particle size				
	865 $\mu\text{m}$	677 $\mu\text{m}$	485 $\mu\text{m}$	339 $\mu\text{m}$	SBM
GE, kcal/kg	3,920	3,900	3,914	3,870	4,197
DM, %	86.54	86.40	86.71	86.30	91.60
CP, %	7.08	7.23	7.25	7.00	47.73
Ash, %	1.15	1.39	1.23	1.10	5.67
AEE <sup>1</sup> , %	3.45	3.51	3.53	3.57	2.05
NDF, %	11.06	10.01	9.29	9.25	-
ADF, %	2.41	2.27	2.24	1.91	-
Starch, %	62.90	61.19	62.73	64.42	-
P, %	0.31	0.34	0.30	0.29	-
Ca, %	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	-
Indispensable, AA %					
Arg	0.35	0.37	0.35	0.35	3.39
His	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	1.22
Ile	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.24	2.20
Leu	0.85	0.84	0.83	0.83	3.78
Lys	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	3.02
Met	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.64
Phe	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	2.35
Thr	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.25	1.81
Trp	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.72
Val	0.35	0.38	0.36	0.35	2.45
Dispensable, AA %					
Ala	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.51	2.04

---

Asp	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.49	5.30
Cys	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.62
Glu	1.28	1.25	1.26	1.26	7.91
Gly	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	1.98
Pro	0.64	0.62	0.64	0.63	2.35
Ser	0.32	0.30	0.30	0.31	2.04
Tyr	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.21	1.67
Total AA	6.93	6.96	6.86	6.87	45.49
Physical characteristics					
Mean particle size, $\mu\text{m}$	865	677	485	339	785
SD of particle size	3.15	3.20	2.92	1.89	1.90
Angle of repose, $^{\circ}$	46.8	50.7	54.9	57.4	73.18
Bulk density, g/L	650.6	631.5	601.4	564.5	705.6

---

<sup>†</sup>AEE = acid hydrolyzed ether extract.

**Table 2.** Apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of GE and starch, the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of energy, the standardized total tract digestibility of P, and the concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy in corn that was ground to different particle sizes, as-fed basis<sup>1,2</sup>, Exp. 1 and Exp. 2

Item	Corn particle size				Pooled SEM	P-value	
	865 $\mu$ m	677 $\mu$ m	485 $\mu$ m	339 $\mu$ m		Linear	Quadratic
AID of GE <sup>1</sup> , %	66.1	69.2	71.6	74.3	4.77	0.03	0.96
AID of Starch <sup>1</sup> , %	89.0	92.6	93.9	96.6	1.32	< 0.01	0.82
ATTD of GE, %	88.7	89.2	90.3	91.6	0.51	< 0.01	< 0.01
STTD of P, %	37.4	37.3	37.1	37.8	2.99	0.99	0.87
ME, kcal/kg	3,311	3,346	3,371	3,432	19.54	< 0.01	< 0.01
ME, kcal/kg DM	3,826	3,868	3,895	3,964	22.58	< 0.01	< 0.01

<sup>1</sup>Data are least squares means of 10 observations, except for the treatments with corn ground to 677 and 865  $\mu$ m, which had only 9 observations. Data for the other variables are least squares means of 10 observations.

<sup>2</sup>The SID of CP and all indispensable and dispensable AA was not affected by the particle size of corn.

**Table 3.** Growth performance of pigs fed diets containing corn ground to different particle sizes and formulated to a different ME<sup>1</sup>, Exp. 3

Item	Corn particle size				Pooled	P-value	
	865 µm	677 µm	485 µm	339 µm		Linea	Quadrati
Initial BW, kg	9.42	9.43	9.43	9.36	0.52	0.20	0.14
Final BW, kg	19.04	19.14	19.2 6	18.6 0	0.90	0.29	0.11
ADG, kg/d	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.44	0.02	0.37	0.16
ADFI, kg/d	0.71	0.71	0.67	0.64	0.03	0.02	0.34
G:F	0.65	0.66	0.70	0.69	0.01	<	0.86
Caloric efficiency							
ME/d	2,318	2,325	2,23 1	2,13 6	116.34	0.05	0.40
ME/kg gain	5,040	5,030	4,75 2	4,85 7	103.32	0.05	0.71
Kg gain/Mcal	0.199	0.199	0.21 1	0.20 6	0.004	0.05	0.71
Caloric	5,040	5,030	4,75 2	4,85 7	103.32	0.05	0.71

<sup>1</sup>Data are means of 8 observations per treatment.

**Table 4.** Growth performance of pigs fed diets containing corn ground to different particle sizes, but formulated to a constant ME<sup>1</sup>, Exp. 4

Item	Corn particle size				Pooled	P-value	
	865 µm	677 µm	485 µm	339 µm		Linea	Quadrati
Initial BW, kg	9.95	9.97	9.94	9.94	0.66	0.51	0.45
Final BW, kg	18.9	19.62	19.5 6	19.4 7	1.14	0.22	0.20
ADG, kg/d	0.45	0.48	0.48	0.47	0.02	0.36	0.28
ADFI, kg/d	0.74	0.78	0.76	0.73	0.04	0.72	0.11
G:F	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.01	0.02	0.46
Caloric efficiency							
ME/d	2,514	2,647	2,59 4	2,48 5	150.27	0.73	0.11
ME/kg gain	5,532	5,470	5,40 8	5,20 9	99.72	0.03	0.44

---

Kg gain/Mcal	0.181	0.183	0.186	0.193	0.004	0.02	0.44
Caloric	5,532	5,471	5,409	5,209	99.72	0.03	0.44

---

<sup>†</sup>Data are means of 8 observations per treatment.

**Table 5.** Growth performance, carcass characteristics, and concentration of VFA in cecal contents from growing-finishing pigs fed diets containing corn ground to different particle sizes<sup>1</sup>, Exp. 5

Item	Corn particle size, µm				Treatment				Sex		
	865	677	485	339	Pooled	Line	Quadr	Barro	Gilts	Pool	P-
					SEM	ar	atic P	ws		ed	val
<b>Growth performance</b>											
BW, kg											
Day 0	31.9 7	31.9 3	32.1 9	32.0 0	0.44	0.47	0.64	32.57	31.4	0.27	0.0
Day 93	129. 97	128. 58	130. 25	129. 81	2.90	0.88	0.75	136.2 4	122. 98	1.41	1 < 0. 01
ADG, kg/d											
Day 0 – 93	1.03	1.02	1.03	1.03	0.03	0.97	0.76	1.09	0.96	0.01	< 0. 01
ADFI, kg/d											
Day 0 – 93	2.81	2.85	2.90	2.94	0.10	0.09	0.98	3.12	2.63	0.04	< 0. 01
G:F											
Day 0 - 93 <sup>2</sup>											
Gilts	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.35	0.01	<	0.45	-	-	-	-
Barrows	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.01	0.01 0.82	0.06	-	-	-	-
Day 0 – 93 <sup>3</sup>	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.01	0.17	0.84	0.25	0.26	0.01	0.2 3
<b>Carcass characteristics</b>											
Dressing <sup>4</sup> , %	79.3 0	79.7 8	79.8 2	80.2 9	0.31	<	0.97	80.27	79.3	0.17	<
Hot carcass wt, kg	101. 10	101. 30	101. 67	102. 31	2.44	0.01 0.57	0.87	107.3	95.7 2	1.15	0.0 <
Back fat, cm	2.23	2.22	2.48	2.25	0.19	0.53	0.51	2.69 5	1.88 6	0.09	0.0 <
pH											
Cecum	6.04	6.2	6.54	6.64	0.09	<	0.94	6.36	6.36	0.06	0.9
Colon	5.85	5.94	6.2	6.25	0.08	0.01 <	0.94	6.10	6.01	0.05	8 0.1
Short-chained fatty acids, ug/ml in cecal contents											
Acetate	2537	2286	1973	1846	136	<	0.79	2206	2113	85	0.4
Propionate	872	794	690	617	48	0.01 <	0.85	768	720	29	4 0.2
						0.01					4

Butyrate	702	611	391	226	57	<	0.20	518	447	34	0.1
											4
Branched-chained fatty acids, ug/ml in cecal contents											
Isobutyrate	62	59	67	76	6	0.02	0.16	70	62	3	0.0
Isovalerate	94	92	105	115	8	0.01	0.28	105	97	5	<sup>9</sup> 0.2
Valerate	105	88	91	87	8	0.10	0.39	101	85	5	<sup>3</sup> 0.0
Organ wt, kg											
Empty viscera	3.01	2.65	2.72	2.52	0.11	<	0.34	2.80	2.64	0.06	2
											0.0

<sup>1</sup>Data are means of 18 observations per treatment, except for the treatment with corn particle size of 677  $\mu\text{m}$ , which had only 17 observations.

<sup>2</sup>Particle size  $\times$  sex interaction ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>3</sup>G:F calculated based on HCW.

<sup>4</sup>Dressing, % = HCW / live wt  $\times$  100.

**Table 6.** Stomach morphology from finishing pigs fed diets containing corn ground to different particle sizes<sup>1</sup>, Exp. 5

Item, %	Corn particle size, $\mu\text{m}$				Pooled SEM	P-value
	865	677	485	339		
Normal	50.00	29.41	5.56	0.00	-	< 0.01
Minor parakeratosis	33.33	64.71	61.11	44.44	-	0.21
Medium parakeratosis	16.67	0.00	22.22	22.22	-	0.22
Major parakeratosis	0.00	5.88	11.11	33.33	-	0.02
Average stomach score <sup>2</sup>	50.00	29.41	5.56	0.00	0.22	< 0.01

<sup>1</sup>Data are means of 18 observations per treatment, except for the treatment with corn particle size of 677  $\mu\text{m}$ , which had only 17 observations. Data are expressed as a frequency of incidence of parakeratosis in the pars oesophagae region in the stomach of the pig.

<sup>2</sup>Score system ranged from 0 to 10. (0= no evidence of ulcers or parakeratosis and 10= severe damage in tissue).

## References

- Al-Rabadi, G. J. S., R. G. Gilberta, and M. J. Gidley. 2009. Effect of particle size on kinetics of starch digestion in milled barley and sorghum grains by porcine alpha-amylase. *J. Cereal Sci.* 50:198-204.
- Baker, K. M., and H. H. Stein. 2009. Amino acid digestibility and concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy in soybean meal produced from conventional, high-protein, or low-oligosaccharide varieties of soybeans and fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87: 2282-2290.
- Bohlke, R. A., R. C. Thaler, and H. H. Stein. 2005. Calcium, phosphorus, and amino acid digestibility in low-phytate corn, normal corn, and soybean meal by growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83:2396-2403.
- Callan, J. J., B. P. Garry, and J. V. O'Doherty. 2007. The effect of expander processing and screen size on nutrient digestibility, growth performance, selected faecal microbial populations and faecal volatile fatty acid concentrations in grower-finished pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 134:223-234.
- Cera, K. R., D. C. Mahan, and G. A. Reinhart. 1990. Effect of weaning, week postweaning and diet composition on pancreatic and small intestinal luminal lipase response in young swine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68:384-391.
- Cervantes-Pahm, S. K., Y. Liu, and H. H. Stein. 2014. Comparative digestibility of energy and nutrients and fermentability of dietary fiber in eight cereal grains fed to pigs. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 94:841-849.
- Champ, M. M. 2004. Physiological aspects of resistant starch and in vivo measurements. *J. AOAC Int.* 87:749-755.
- de Lange, C. F. M., S. H. Birkett, and P. C. H. Morel. 2001. Protein, fat and bone tissue growth in swine. In: A. J. Lewis and L. L. Southern, editors, *Swine nutrition*. 2nd ed. CRC Press, Washington, DC. p. 65-81.
- Everts, H., R. A. Dekker, B. Smith, J. W. Cone. 1996. Digestion of maize starch and native pea starch in the small intestine of pigs. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 55:59A
- Fastinger, N. D., and D. C. Mahan. 2003. Effect of soybean meal particle size on amino acid and energy digestibility in grower-finisher swine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81:697-704.
- Hancock, J. D., and K. C. Behnke. 2001. Use of ingredient and diet processing technologies (grinding, mixing, pelleting, and extruding) to produce quality feeds for pigs. In: A. J. Lewis and L. L. Southern, editors, *Swine Nutrition*. 2nd ed. CRC Press, Washington, DC. p. 469-498.
- Healy, B. J., J. D., Hancock, G. A. Kennedy, P. J. Bramel-cox, K. C. Behnke, and R. H. Hines. 1994. Optimum particle size of corn and hard and soft sorghum for nursery pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:2227-2236.
- Kass, M. L., P. J. Van Soest, W. G. Pond, B. Lewis, and R. E. McDowell. 1980. Utilization of dietary fiber from alfalfa by growing swine. I. Apparent digestibility of diet components in specific segments of the gastrointestinal tract. *J. Anim. Sci.* 50:175-191.
- Kim, I. H., J. D. Hancock, J. W. Hong, M. R. Cabrera, R. H. Hines, and K. C. Behnke. 2002. Corn particle size affects nutritional value of simple and complex diets for nursery pigs and broiler chicks. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15:872-877.
- Kim, J. C., B. P. Mullan, J. M. Heo, C. F. Hansen, and J. R. Pluske. 2009. Decreasing dietary particle size of lupins increases apparent ileal amino acid digestibility and alters fermentation characteristics in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs. *Br. J. Nutr.* 102:350-360.
- Li, S. F., Y. B. Niu, J. S. Liu, L. Lu, L. Y. Zhang, C. Y. Ran, M. S. Feng, B. Du, J. L. Deng, and X. G. Luo. 2013. Energy, amino acid, and phosphorus digestibility of phytase transgenic corn for

- growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 91:298-308.
- Li, X. L., S. L. Yuan, X. S. Piao, C. H. Lai, J. J. Zang, Y. H. Ding, L. J. Han, and K. Han. 2006. The nutritional value of brown rice and maize for growing pigs. *Asian-Austr. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:892-897.
- Liu, P., L. W. O. Souza, S. K. Baidoo, and G. C. Shurson. 2012. Impact of DDGS particle size on nutrients digestibility, DE and ME content, and flowability in diets for growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 90:4925-4932.
- Mahan, D. C., R. A. Pickett, T. W. Perry, T. M. Curtim, W. R. Featherston, and W. M. Beeson. 1966. Influence of various nutritional factors and physical form of feed on esophagogastric ulcers in swine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 25:1019-1023.
- Mavromichalis, I., J. D. Hancock, B. W. Sense, T. L. Gugle, G. A. Kennedy, R. H. Hines, and C. L. Wyatt. 2000. Enzyme supplementation and particle size of wheat in diets for nursery and finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78:3086-3095.
- Maxwell, C. V., E. M. Reimann, W. G. Hoekstra, T. Kowalczyk, N. J. Benevenga, and R. H. Grummer. 1970. Effect of dietary particle size on lesion development and on the contents of various regions of the swine stomach. *J. Anim. Sci.* 30:911-922.
- NRC. 1998. Nutrient requirements of swine. 10th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.
- NRC. 2012. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.
- Pedersen, C., M. G. Boersma, and H. H. Stein. 2007. Energy and nutrient digestibility in NutriDense corn and other cereal grains fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85:2473:2483.
- Reece, F. N., B. D. Lott, and J. W. Deaton. 1985. The effects of feed form, grinding method, energy level, and gender on broiler performance in a moderate (21°C) environment. *Poult. Sci.* 64:1834-1839.
- Rojas, O. J., and H. H. Stein. 2013. Phosphorus digestibility and concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy in corn, corn co-products, and bakery meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* Submitted.
- Stein, H. H., C. F. Shipley, and R. A. Easter. 1998. Technical Note: A technique for inserting a T-cannula into the distal ileum of pregnant sows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76:1433-1436.
- Tokach, M. D., J. E. Pettigrew, L. J. Johnson, M. Øverland, J. W. Rust, and S. G. Cornelius. 1995. Effect of adding fat and (or) milk products to the weanling pig diets on performance in the nursery and subsequent grow-finish stages. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:3358-3368.
- Varum, F. J. O., F. Veiga, J. S. Sousa, and A. W. Basit. 2010. An investigation into the role of mucus thickness on mucocohesion in the gastrointestinal tract of pig. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 40:335-341.
- Widmer, M. R., L. M. McGinnis, and H. H. Stein. 2007. Energy, amino acid, and phosphorus digestibility of high protein distillers dried grain and corn germ fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85:2994-3003.
- Wondra, K. J., J. D. Hancock, K. C. Behnke, R. H. Hines, and C. R. Stark. 1995a. Effects of particle size and pelleting on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and stomach morphology in finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:757-763.
- Wondra, K. J., J. D. Hancock, K. C. Behnke, and C. R. Stark. 1995b. Effects of mill type and particle size uniformity on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and stomach morphology in finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:2564-2573.
- Wondra, K. J., J. D. Hancock, G. A. Kennedy, K. C. Behnke, and K. R. Wondra. 1995c. Effects of reducing particle size of corn in lactation diets on energy and nitrogen metabolism in second parity sows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:427-432.
- Wondra, K. J., J. D. Hancock, G. A. Kennedy, R. H. Hines, and K. C. Behnke. 1995d. Reducing particle size of corn in lactation diets from 1,200 to 400 micrometers improves sow and litter performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:421-426.
- Yen, J. T. 2001. Anatomy of the digestive system and nutritional physiology. In: A. J. Lewis and L. L. Southern, editors, *Swine Nutrition*. 2nd ed. CRC Press, Washington, DC. p. 31-63.