

# Effect of feed conditioning time prior to pelleting on standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids and total tract digestibility of energy in diets fed to growing pigs

Diego A. Lopez<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hans H. Stein<sup>2</sup>, Matt D. Miesner<sup>3</sup>, Jordan T. Gebhardt<sup>3</sup>, Charles R. Stark<sup>1</sup>, and Chad B. Paulk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Grain Science, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, United States

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

<sup>3</sup>Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, United States

\*Corresponding author: [diegolopez@ksu.edu](mailto:diegolopez@ksu.edu)

## Abstract

Two experiments were conducted to determine the effect of increasing retention time in the conditioner prior to pelleting on digestibility of energy, protein, and amino acids (AA) in diets for growing pigs. Four dietary treatments were used in both experiments and consisted of a mash diet, and 3 pelleted diets that were conditioned for 30, 60, or 180 s. In Exp. 1, 12 ileal cannulated barrows with an initial average body weight of  $44.9 \pm 2.70$  kg were allotted to a triplicated  $4 \times 4$  Latin square with 4 dietary treatments and 4 experimental periods in each square for a total of 12 replicate pigs per treatment. Each period consisted of 5 days of adaptation and 2 days of collection of ileal digesta. In Exp. 2, 12 barrows (initial average body weight:  $34.1 \pm 1.03$  kg) were allotted to a triplicated  $4 \times 3$  incomplete Latin square design with 4 dietary treatments and 3 experimental periods. Each period consisted of 5 d of adaptation and 5 d of collection of feces and urine. Pigs were individually housed in metabolism crates and feces, and urine were collected. Results of Exp. 1 indicated an overall treatment effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of crude protein and all AA except Lys, Met, and Trp. The SID of crude protein, Arg, Leu, Thr, Ala, Ser, and Tyr was greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all pelleted diets compared with the mash diet. The SID of His, Ile, Phe, Val, Asp, and Glu was less ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the mash diet compared with the pelleted diets conditioned for 60 s or 180 s. The SID of Cys was less ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the mash diet compared with the pelleted diet conditioned for 180 s. Increasing the retention time in the conditioner from 30 to 180 s increased (linear;  $P < 0.05$ ) the SID of CP and most AA. Results of Exp. 2 demonstrated that pelleted diets had greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of gross energy compared with the mash diet, but no treatment effects were observed for ATTD of dry matter or on digestible energy, metabolizable energy or the metabolizability of digestible energy. These results indicate that increasing conditioning time up to 180 s improved AA digestibility for most AA and pelleting also increased ATTD of gross energy.

## Lay Summary

The objective of this experiment was to determine the effect of increasing retention time during conditioning before pelleting on nutrient and energy digestibility of diets fed to growing pigs. Treatments consisted of a mash diet and 3 pelleted diets that were conditioned for 30, 60, or 180 s. Digestibility of protein, amino acids and energy were determined. Results demonstrated that pelleting improved protein and amino acid digestibility. Specifically, retention times of 60 or 180 s during conditioning resulted in greater digestibility of amino acids. However, no differences were observed for digestibility of Lys, Met, and Trp. Similarly, energy digestibility improved with pelleting but differences among the diets pelleted after different retention times were observed. These results indicate that heat and moisture exposure for a longer time may enhance nutrient and energy availability.

**Key words:** amino acids, conditioning, digestibility, energy, pelleting, pigs

## Introduction

Conditioning feed with steam prior to pelleting is a key process to prepare the feed for pellet formation in the pellet die (Wang et al. 2019). Proper mash feed conditioning is the first major step of the pelleting process and is necessary to achieve optimum production rates, pellet quality, energy usage, and die and roll life. Increasing the amount of steam used in the conditioning process will in turn result in increased conditioning temperature and moisture added to the feed. Addition of heat

and moisture has been attributed to changing the physicochemical properties of the feed, typically resulting in improved binding properties between particles (Thomas and van der Poel 1996), which may increase nutrient digestibility (Svihus and Zimonja 2011).

Parameters that can be adjusted during feed conditioning prior to pelleting include steam addition (temperature and moisture), retention time, and steam pressure. Conditioner retention time is influenced by the length of the conditioner,

Received August 28, 2025 Accepted October 26, 2025.

© The Author(s) (2025). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society of Animal Science.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the angle and placement of the mixing paddles, and the operating speed. When steam is added to the conditioner, moisture absorption occurs at a slower rate than heat transfer (Thomas and van der Poel 2020), which supports the hypothesis that longer retention times may influence pellet durability and nutrient digestibility by promoting physicochemical changes through increased moisture absorption.

Increasing the retention time during conditioning has also been considered a strategy to reduce microbial contamination of feed (Borojeni et al. 2016; Cochran et al. 2017; Boltz et al. 2019). Although research has shown the capacity of feed to transmit pathogens such as viruses and bacteria, temperature and retention time sufficient to neutralize those pathogens are or can be achieved during conditioning (Cochran et al. 2017). Increasing the temperature during conditioning can be an effective method of control, however, it might lead to heat damage of nutrients (González-Vega et al. 2011). As an alternative, using lower temperatures in combination with longer retention times may neutralize pathogens while minimizing nutrient damage (Borojeni et al. 2016; dos Santos et al. 2020). To increase feed retention time in the conditioner, operators can adjust the paddle configuration to reduce forward movement, reduce conditioner shaft speed, or reduce feed production rate to decrease the flow rate of feed through the conditioner. Hygienizers or double- and triple-pass conditioners can also be used to increase retention time during conditioning. These systems provide greater flexibility to control the duration of feed exposure to high temperature and moisture.

Although it has been suggested that the ideal retention time is in the range of 30 to 90 s, it is estimated that most conditioners range between 5 and 10 s (Schofield and American Feed Industry Association 2005). Therefore, feed mills may explore opportunities to maximize feed retention time in the conditioner to improve pellet durability and microbial control. Additionally, an increase in digestibility of energy and nutrients was observed when retention time increased from 3 to 20 s in pelleted diets fed to broilers (dos Santos et al. 2020). However, to our knowledge, no research has been conducted to determine the effect of extended retention time on nutrient and energy digestibility. Therefore, the objective of this work was to test the hypothesis that extended retention time of feed in the conditioner prior to pelleting will increase the standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of amino acids (AA) and the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of energy of diets fed to growing pigs.

## Materials and methods

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Kansas State University approved the protocol for two experiments. Castrated male pigs (241 × 600, DNA Genetics, Columbus, NE, United States) were used in both experiments. Pigs were housed individually in metabolism crates (1.50 m × 0.56 m) that were equipped with solid panels on the sides, a feeder, a water cup drinker, and metal diamond-slatted flooring.

### Diet and diet preparation

A diet based on corn, soybean meal, and distillers dried grains with solubles was formulated (Tables 1 and 2) to meet or exceed all nutrient requirements for pigs between 40 and 65 kg (NRC 2012). The diet was manufactured at the O.H. Kruse Feed Technology and Innovation Center in Manhattan, KS, United States, following their standard procedures. A total of

2449 kg of a basal diet was mixed and used for both experiments. Four separate batches were mixed using a twin-shaft counterpoise mixer (Hayes and Stolz, model TRDB63-0152, Fort Worth, TX, United States). The mixing time was 60 s for dry ingredients and an extra 180 s after adding liquid ingredients. After mixing, one batch of 455 kg of feed was kept as mash and the remaining 3 batches of 664 kg of mixed feed were used in the manufacturing of pelleted treatments.

The mash diet was mixed and sacked off after mixing without further processing. To prepare the pelleted diets, the mash feed was conditioned for approximately 30, 60, or 180 s at a target temperature of 85 °C in a single-pass conditioner. To accomplish the desired retention time, the paddles of the conditioner were set at an angle of 10°, the feeder flow to the conditioner was adjusted to 10%, and the speed of the conditioner was adjusted to 85%, 60%, or 10%. Following conditioning, each of the 3 diets was pelleted on a 100 HP pellet mill (CPM, model 3016-4 Master, Crawfordsville, IN, USA) equipped with a 4.8 × 45.0 mm die. Each diet was pelleted in a single run that took approximately 80 min. During pelleting, the temperature in the conditioner was monitored and recorded every 20 minutes (Table 3).

Once pelleted, diets were analyzed for pellet quality using the Holmen forced-air method (TekPro Ltd, model NHP 100, Norfolk, United Kingdom; Evans 2023). Prior to analysis, samples were stored in commercial paper feed sacks. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate, and results were averaged. Collected pellet samples were sifted with a U.S. No. 5 (3.9 mm) sieve to allow for the separation of fines and pellets. The pellet durability was then assessed using the NHP 100 (TekPro Ltd, Norfolk, United Kingdom) pellet tester. The following equation was used to calculate the pellet durability index (PDI; S269.5; ASAE 2012):

$$PDI = \frac{n}{\left(\frac{N}{m}\right)}$$

### Experiment 1: amino acid digestibility

A total of 12 barrows (average initial body weight: 44.95 ± 2.70 kg) were surgically equipped with a T-cannula in the distal ileum (Stein et al. 1998). The animals were allotted to a triplicated 4 × 4 Latin square design (Kim and Stein 2009) with four periods of 7 d and 4 dietary treatments. Therefore, each diet was fed to 3 pigs in each period for a total of 12 replicate pigs per dietary treatment for the 4 periods. Pigs were fed 3.0 times the maintenance requirement for metabolizable energy (ME; ie 197 kcal/kg body weight<sup>0.60</sup>; NRC 2012) and had free access to water throughout the experiment. The daily feed allowance was divided and fed in two equal meals at 0800 and 1400 h.

The first five days of each period were considered the adaptation period to the diet (Adeola 2001), with the ileal sample collection taking place on days 6 and 7. On each collection day, samples were collected for 8 h by removing the lid of the cannula, attaching a plastic bag to the barrel of the cannula, and securing it with a plastic cable tie. The digesta flowing into the bag were collected every 30 min or every time the bag was full. Digesta samples were immediately stored at -20 °C to prevent bacterial degradation of AA.

Diets were bagged, and a sample was collected by probing each bag and combining into one composite sample. Digesta samples were thawed, subsampled, lyophilized, and ground in

**Table 1.** Ingredient composition of experimental diets (as-fed basis).<sup>a</sup>

Item	Basal diet
<b>Ingredient, %</b>	
Corn	59.62
Soybean meal	16.14
Distillers dried grains with solubles	20.00
Soybean oil	1.00
Calcium carbonate	0.95
Monocalcium phosphate, 21% P	0.30
Sodium chloride	0.40
L-Lysine-HCl	0.45
DL-Methionine	0.05
L-Threonine	0.12
L-Tryptophan	0.05
L-Valine	0.02
Trace mineral premix <sup>b</sup>	0.15
Vitamin premix <sup>c</sup>	0.25
Phytase concentrate <sup>d</sup>	0.01
Titanium dioxide	0.50
Total	100.00
<b>Calculated analysis</b>	
<b>Standardized ileal digestible AA, %</b>	
Lysine	1.00
Isoleucine: lysine	61
Leucine: lysine	156
Methionine: lysine	33
Methionine and cysteine: lysine	58
Threonine: lysine	70
Tryptophan: lysine	20.3
Valine: lysine	72
Histidine: lysine	42
Total lysine, %	1.16
Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg	3,803
Crude protein, %	18.70
Ca, %	0.57
P, %	0.45

<sup>a</sup>A basal diet was formulated and divided into 4 batches. One batch was used without further processing, but three batches were pelleted following conditioning at 30, 60, or 180 s.

<sup>b</sup>Provided per kg of diet: 110 mg of Zn from zinc sulfate; 110 mg of Fe from iron sulfate; 33 mg of Mn from manganese oxide; 17 mg of Cu from copper sulfate; 0.30 mg of Se from sodium selenite; 0.30 mg of I from calcium iodate.

<sup>c</sup>Provided per kg of diet: 4,134 IU vitamin A; 1,653 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>; 44 IU vitamin E; 3 mg vitamin K; 0.03 mg vitamin B<sub>12</sub>; 50 mg of niacin; 28 mg pantothenic acid; 8 mg riboflavin.

<sup>d</sup>Quantum Blue 10 g (AB Vista, Marlborough, Wiltshire, UK) contained 10,000 FTU/g. At 0.01% inclusion, complete diets were expected to contain 500 FTU/kg with an estimated release of 0.10% STTD P.

preparation for laboratory analysis. Samples of diets and ileal digesta were analyzed for dry matter (DM; method 930.15; AOAC 2019) and nitrogen using the combustion method in a LECO analyzer (method 990.03; AOAC 2019). Crude protein (CP) was calculated as nitrogen  $\times$  6.25. Diet and ileal digesta samples were also analyzed for AA (method 982.30 E [a, b, c]; AOAC 2019) and titanium was quantified following the method described by Myers et al. (2004). Diet samples were also analyzed for ash (method 942.05; AOAC 2019), crude fiber (method 978.10; AOAC 2019), and crude fat (method 920.39; AOAC 2019).

Apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and SID of CP and AA were calculated for the four dietary treatments as described by Stein et al. (2007). The values for the basal endogenous losses of CP

and AA used to calculate SID were obtained from Adeola (2016).

### Experiment 2: energy

Twelve barrows (average initial body weight: 34.11  $\pm$  1.03 kg) were allotted to a 4  $\times$  3 incomplete Latin square design with 4 treatments and three periods of 13 d, for a total of nine replicate pigs per dietary treatment. During each experimental period, the initial first five days were considered an adaptation period to the diets and the adaptation period was followed by 5 d of collection of feces and urine. Feeding was managed as described in Exp. 1.

During collection, a urine pan and a screen were installed underneath the floor of the crates to allow for the total, but separate, collection of fecal and urine samples. Fecal samples were collected following the marker-to-marker approach (Adeola 2001). In short, an indigestible marker was included in the meal of the morning of the first day of collection, and the collection of feces started the moment the marker was spotted in the feces. A second indigestible marker was included in the meal on the morning of the last day of collection, and then the fecal collection stopped the moment the second marker was spotted in the feces. Unconsumed feed left in the feeder during the collection period was weighed, collected, and recorded. Orts were collected as well. Urine was collected every morning during the collection period. A bucket with 50 mL of 6 N HCl to prevent volatilization was placed underneath the urine pan under each crate and covered with cheesecloth. The buckets with the urine content were weighed daily, and 10% of the content was subsampled. Both feces and urine samples were immediately stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After the subsample of urine was taken, the remaining urine was discarded and HCl was added to the buckets again.

At the conclusion of the experiment, urine samples were thawed and mixed within animal and diet. A subsample was then taken for chemical analysis. Fecal samples were dried at  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a forced-air oven (Jacobs et al. 2011), finely ground, mixed, and subsampled. Urine samples were filtered and lyophilized. Feed, urine, and fecal samples were analyzed for gross energy using bomb calorimetry (Parr 6200, Parr Instruments Company, Moline, IL, USA). Feed and fecal samples were analyzed for DM (Method 930.15; AOAC 2019). Following analysis of diets, fecal samples, and urine samples, ATTD of gross energy and DM was calculated and digestible energy (DE) and ME were calculated for each diet (Adeola 2001).

### Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States) using normal distribution and considering pig as the experimental unit. Diet was the fixed effect and pig and period were random effects. Least squares means were calculated for each independent variable and means were separated using the PDIFF option. Studentized residuals were calculated for each observation. Observations with a studentized residual outside of  $\pm 3$  were considered outliers and removed. Contrast statements were used to test linear effects of increasing retention time in the conditioner in pelleted treatments; therefore, the mash diet was not included in the contrasts. Treatment differences were considered significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  and marginally significant at  $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ .

## Results

Because the four treatment diets were produced using the same ingredient composition, only small variations in chemical composition were observed among diets (Table 2). However, differences were observed in the concentration of GE in the mash diet compared with the pelleted diets. Additionally, differences in the concentration of Lys and Met were observed between the mash diet compared with the pelleted diets, however, these differences were considered to be within the range of analytical or sampling variability.

The expected conditioning temperature was 85°C. Actual conditioning temperatures averaged 83.6°C. Pellet mill production rates averaged 488 kg/hr (Table 3). Increasing the retention time of feed in the conditioner from 30 to 180 s numerically improved PDI from 81.6% to 88.7%.

**Table 2.** Analyzed composition of experimental diets (as-fed basis).

Item,	Mash diet	Pelleted diets—retention time, s		
		30	60	180
Gross energy, kcal/kg	4,101	3,963	3,986	3,998
Dry matter, %	87.89	87.47	87.44	87.51
Crude protein, %	19.29	19.04	19.14	19.07
Crude fat, %	3.04	4.15	4.18	4.03
Crude fiber, %	3.06	4.06	4.33	5.09
Ash, %	5.81	4.98	5.06	5.04
Indispensable AA, %				
Arginine	1.07	1.02	0.99	1.02
Histidine	0.51	0.49	0.48	0.49
Isoleucine	0.82	0.77	0.76	0.80
Leucine	1.87	1.80	1.78	1.87
Lysine	1.32	1.25	1.18	1.22
Methionine	0.43	0.34	0.34	0.35
Phenylalanine	0.95	0.90	0.89	0.94
Threonine	0.80	0.80	0.76	0.80
Tryptophan	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.22
Valine	0.98	0.93	0.90	0.94
Dispensable AA, %				
Alanine	1.10	1.07	1.06	1.10
Aspartic acid	1.61	1.56	1.53	1.60
Cysteine	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.34
Glutamic acid	3.29	3.14	3.12	3.28
Glycine	0.77	0.74	0.72	0.74
Serine	0.79	0.75	0.74	0.78
Tyrosine	0.68	0.64	0.63	0.66

**Table 3.** Feed processing and pellet quality of pelleted diets.<sup>a,b</sup>

Item	Pelleted diets—retention time, s		
	30	60	180
Production rate, kg/h	504	487	472
Conditioning temperature, °C	83.8	84.0	83.1
Pellet durability index (PDI), % <sup>c</sup>	81.6	84.8	88.7

<sup>a</sup>Treatments were pelleted using a 5-ton 100-horsepower pellet mill (Model PM3016-4, CPM, Crawfordsville, IN, United States) equipped with a 4.8×45-mm die.

<sup>b</sup>The values for production rate and conditioning temperatures are the averages of four measurements taken at evenly spaced intervals over the duration of the pellet run.

<sup>c</sup>Holmen NHP100 (Norfolk, United Kingdom) for 60 s; Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

No overall treatment effects were observed for the AID of Lys, Met, or Trp (Table 4). The AID of DM, CP, Thr, Leu, and Ala in pelleted diets, regardless of retention time, was greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) than in the mash diet. The AID of Arg, His, Ile, Phe, Val, Asp, Glu, Ser, Tyr, mean indispensable AA, mean dispensable AA, and mean total AA was greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) in pelleted diets with retention times of 60 and 180 s compared with the mash diet; however, no differences were observed between the 30 s and the mash diets for the AID of these AA. The AID of Cys and Gly was greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) only in the diet pelleted after condition for 180 s compared with the mash diet. A linear ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase was observed in the AID of DM and most AA except for Lys and Trp as retention time in the conditioner increased from 30 to 180 s.

No overall treatment effects were observed for the SID of Lys or Trp (Table 5). All pelleted diets had a greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) SID of CP, Thr, Arg, Leu, Ala, Ser, and Tyr compared with the mash diet. Diets pelleted with conditioner retention times of 60 or 180 s had greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) SID of Arg, His, Ile, Leu, Phe, Val, Ala, Asp, Glu, Ser, Tyr, mean dispensable AA, mean indispensable AA, and mean total AA compared with the mash diet. Increasing the retention time in the conditioner from 30 to 180 s increased (linear;  $P < 0.05$ ) the SID of CP and most AA, except for Lys and Trp.

No differences were observed in the ATTD of DM, concentrations of DE and ME, or the metabolizability of DE (ie ME: DE; Table 6). However, the ATTD of GE was greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the pelleted diets compared with the mash diet.

## Discussion

Although it has been suggested that the ideal retention time in the conditioner is in the range of 30 to 90 s, most conditioners have an estimated retention time shorter than 30 s (Schofield and American Feed Industry Association 2005; Behnke 2014; Dunmire et al. 2021). However, retention time in the conditioner can be increased. The angle of paddles is usually factory set at 30 to 45° forward angle, which can be modified to a lesser angle to reduce the speed at which feed will move through the conditioner. The closer the paddles are to be perpendicular to the shaft, the greater retention time can be accomplished (Schofield and American Feed Industry Association 2005; Behnke 2014). Modifying the shaft speed can also alter retention time. However, shaft speed works in combination with the paddle angle and there are different combinations that might lead to different retention times, and achieving the desired retention time as a result of the combined effects of shaft speed and paddle angle is a trial-and-error process (Schofield and American Feed Industry Association 2005; Behnke 2014). With the equipment available to prepare diets for the present experiments, the desired retention times were accomplished by reducing the shaft speed of the conditioner, adjusting the angle of the paddles in the conditioner, and reducing the amount of feed flowing into the conditioner. The first retention time accomplished was 180 s, due to the degree of difficulty to accomplish this time. Once the paddles and the flow of feed into the conditioner were adjusted to achieve 180 s of retention, these settings remained constant across all pelleting runs. To achieve the remaining targets of retention time, the only parameter that was modified was the conditioner shaft speed, therefore, it was assumed that all other conditioning parameters (ie temperature, steam quality, pressure, etc.) were similar across treatments.

**Table 4.** Apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of dry matter, crude protein and amino acid (AA) in experimental diets.<sup>1</sup>

Item, %	Retention time, s				SEM	<i>P</i> <	
	Mash	30	60	180		Treatment	Linear <sup>2</sup>
Dry matter	63.5 <sup>b</sup>	65.9 <sup>a</sup>	66.3 <sup>a</sup>	68.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	0.001	0.014
Crude protein	64.7 <sup>b</sup>	71.3 <sup>a</sup>	73.4 <sup>a</sup>	73.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.01	0.001	0.122
<b>Indispensable AA</b>							
Arginine	82.4 <sup>c</sup>	84.2 <sup>bc</sup>	85.3 <sup>ab</sup>	86.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	0.001	0.013
Histidine	75.1 <sup>c</sup>	76.3 <sup>bc</sup>	78.1 <sup>ab</sup>	79.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.89	0.001	0.005
Isoleucine	73.2 <sup>c</sup>	75.5 <sup>bc</sup>	77.5 <sup>ab</sup>	78.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.10	0.001	0.004
Leucine	76.2 <sup>c</sup>	79.6 <sup>b</sup>	81.5 <sup>ab</sup>	82.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.99	0.001	0.004
Lysine	78.6	79.5	78.7	79.8	0.99	0.488	0.451
Methionine	83.9	83.4	84.8	85.4	0.88	0.052	0.026
Phenylalanine	74.8 <sup>c</sup>	77.3 <sup>bc</sup>	79.3 <sup>ab</sup>	80.7 <sup>a</sup>	1.02	0.001	0.004
Threonine	67.0 <sup>b</sup>	70.2 <sup>a</sup>	71.0 <sup>a</sup>	72.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.05	0.001	0.017
Tryptophan	79.6	80.0	80.8	81.5	1.00	0.252	0.150
Valine	70.4 <sup>c</sup>	73.0 <sup>bc</sup>	74.4 <sup>ab</sup>	76.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.17	0.001	0.013
Mean	75.8 <sup>c</sup>	77.9 <sup>bc</sup>	79.1 <sup>ab</sup>	80.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.94	0.001	0.012
<b>Dispensable AA</b>							
Alanine	70.3 <sup>c</sup>	74.2 <sup>b</sup>	76.4 <sup>ab</sup>	77.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.20	0.001	0.009
Aspartic acid	68.6 <sup>c</sup>	70.8 <sup>bc</sup>	72.8 <sup>ab</sup>	74.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.04	0.001	0.008
Cysteine	58.8 <sup>b</sup>	57.7 <sup>b</sup>	61.0 <sup>ab</sup>	64.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.46	0.002	0.001
Glutamic acid	75.2 <sup>c</sup>	76.7 <sup>bc</sup>	78.7 <sup>ab</sup>	80.5 <sup>a</sup>	1.05	0.001	0.005
Glycine	52.3 <sup>b</sup>	53.6 <sup>ab</sup>	55.2 <sup>ab</sup>	58.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.67	0.022	0.035
Serine	72.2 <sup>c</sup>	74.4 <sup>bc</sup>	76.0 <sup>ab</sup>	77.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.92	0.001	0.002
Tyrosine	76.0 <sup>c</sup>	78.2 <sup>bc</sup>	79.7 <sup>ab</sup>	81.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.90	0.001	0.002
Mean	70.4 <sup>c</sup>	72.4 <sup>bc</sup>	74.3 <sup>ab</sup>	76.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.06	0.001	0.003
<b>Total AA</b>	73.0 <sup>c</sup>	75.0 <sup>bc</sup>	76.6 <sup>ab</sup>	78.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.98	0.001	0.005

Means within a row lacking a common superscript letter (a to c) are different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup> $N = 12$ .

<sup>2</sup>Linear effect of increasing conditioning time during pelleting (ie 30, 60, and 180 s).

During pelleting, the exposure of feed to heat and moisture creates conditions for changes that potentially affect its nutritional value as described below. Improvements in ileal digestibility of CP and AA when pelleting swine diets have been reported (Rojas et al. 2016; Dunmire et al. 2024; Lee et al. 2025). In the case of protein, there is a degree of denaturation of protein and inactivation of antinutritional factors that can lead to these potential improvements in AA digestibility. However, if ingredients or complete feed are over processed, Maillard reactions may occur which could result in negative effects on protein digestibility (González-Vega et al. 2011).

The chemical reactions involved in Maillard reactions can render AA indigestible due to the link of its amino group with a reducing sugar (Martins et al. 2000). Because Maillard reactions require a free amino group to occur, amino acids differ in their susceptibility. For example, lysine is particularly reactive due to the presence of both an  $\alpha$ -amino group, like all amino acids, and an additional  $\epsilon$ -amino group (Martins et al. 2000). Tryptophan is also susceptible due to the nitrogen within its indole ring. As a result, the Maillard reaction might reduce digestibility of Lys and Trp before affecting other amino acids, due to their greater susceptibility to heat-induced reactions compared with other AA (Ajandouz and Puigserver 1999; Salazar-Villanea et al. 2018). The observation that there were differences between mash and pelleted diets for the digestibility of Lys and Trp contrast with previous experiments (Rojas et al. 2016; Dunmire et al. 2024; Lee et al. 2025) where AID or SID of Lys and Trp increased with pelleting. However, because no improvements or reduction in the digestibility of Lys or Trp were observed, it is hypothesized that the positive effects of

pelleting on Lys or Trp digestibility were offset by the negative effects of the Maillard reactions. Under the conditions of the present experiment, the slower production rate during pelleting might have resulted in prolonged residence time of the feed in the pellet die, where higher temperatures are reached due to friction and pressure. The extended exposure to heat may also have promoted Maillard reactions to a greater extent compared with previous studies, and therefore, potentially explaining the differences observed in the AID and SID of Lys and Trp compared with the mash diet.

Protein denaturation, particularly changes in tertiary structure, can occur during processes involving high temperatures and the presence of moisture in the environment. The temperature at which denaturation occurs is directly influenced by environmental moisture; proteins exposed to high moisture can denature at temperatures as low as 60 to 70 °C, whereas proteins exposed to lower moisture conditions require higher temperatures that can reach 100 to 200 °C (Adams 1991; Svihus and Zimonja 2011; Zhang et al. 2022). During pelleting, the use of steam, increases the feed moisture concentration 3% to 6% (Schofield and American Feed Industry Association 2005), while also increasing the temperature during conditioning. This increase in the temperature and the presence of moisture indicate the capacity of pelleting of promoting protein denaturation, which can partially explain the positive effect of pelleting on SID of CP and AA.

Although increasing the conditioning temperature by adding steam increases the amount of moisture added to the feed, increasing the retention time allows more time for that moisture and heat to be absorbed and penetrate feed particles. Therefore,

**Table 5.** Standardized ileal digestibility of crude protein and amino acids (AA) in experimental diets.<sup>1,2</sup>

Item, %	Mash	Retention time, s			SEM	<i>P</i> <	
		30	60	180		Treatment	Linear <sup>3</sup>
Crude protein	73.5 <sup>b</sup>	79.2 <sup>a</sup>	81.2 <sup>a</sup>	81.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.01	0.001	0.044
<b>Indispensable AA</b>							
Arginine	87.3 <sup>c</sup>	89.2 <sup>b</sup>	90.5 <sup>ab</sup>	91.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	0.001	0.016
Histidine	78.0 <sup>c</sup>	79.3 <sup>bc</sup>	81.1 <sup>ab</sup>	82.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.89	0.001	0.006
Isoleucine	76.4 <sup>c</sup>	78.9 <sup>bc</sup>	80.9 <sup>ab</sup>	82.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.10	0.001	0.006
Leucine	78.5 <sup>c</sup>	82.0 <sup>b</sup>	83.9 <sup>ab</sup>	85.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.99	0.001	0.005
Lysine	81.2	82.3	81.7	82.7	0.99	0.436	0.441
Methionine	86.2 <sup>a</sup>	86.2 <sup>a</sup>	87.6 <sup>a</sup>	88.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.89	0.027	0.034
Phenylalanine	77.8 <sup>c</sup>	80.4 <sup>bc</sup>	82.4 <sup>ab</sup>	83.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.02	0.001	0.005
Threonine	72.7 <sup>b</sup>	75.9 <sup>a</sup>	76.9 <sup>a</sup>	78.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.05	0.001	0.022
Tryptophan	84.8	85.1	86.2	86.7	1.00	0.225	0.176
Valine	74.6 <sup>b</sup>	77.3 <sup>ab</sup>	78.9 <sup>a</sup>	80.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.17	0.001	0.017
Mean	79.2 <sup>c</sup>	81.5 <sup>bc</sup>	82.8 <sup>ab</sup>	83.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.94	0.001	0.016
<b>Dispensable AA</b>							
Alanine	74.8 <sup>c</sup>	78.9 <sup>b</sup>	81.1 <sup>ab</sup>	82.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.20	0.001	0.012
Aspartic acid	72.7 <sup>c</sup>	75.0 <sup>bc</sup>	77.1 <sup>ab</sup>	78.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.04	0.001	0.011
Cysteine	63.1 <sup>b</sup>	63.2 <sup>b</sup>	65.7 <sup>ab</sup>	69.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.46	0.002	0.001
Glutamic acid	77.7 <sup>c</sup>	79.4 <sup>bc</sup>	81.3 <sup>ab</sup>	83.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.05	0.001	0.007
Glycine	69.0 <sup>b</sup>	70.9 <sup>ab</sup>	72.9 <sup>ab</sup>	75.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.67	0.011	0.021
Serine	79.4 <sup>c</sup>	81.9 <sup>b</sup>	83.7 <sup>ab</sup>	84.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.92	0.001	0.006
Tyrosine	80.6 <sup>c</sup>	83.0 <sup>b</sup>	84.5 <sup>ab</sup>	85.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.90	0.001	0.004
Mean	79.2 <sup>c</sup>	81.5 <sup>bc</sup>	83.5 <sup>ab</sup>	85.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.06	0.001	0.006
Total AA	79.2 <sup>c</sup>	81.5 <sup>bc</sup>	83.2 <sup>ab</sup>	84.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.98	0.001	0.008

Means within a row lacking a common superscript letter (a to c) are different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup>N = 12.

<sup>2</sup>Values for standardized ileal digestibility were obtained with the correction of apparent ileal digestibility values for basal endogenous losses of each individual AA and crude protein. These values were obtained from Adeola (2016) as (g/kg of dry matter intake): Crude protein, 17.28; Arg, 0.59; His, 0.17; Ile 0.30; Leu, 0.50; Lys, 0.40; Met, 0.11; Phe, 0.32; Thr, 0.52; Trp, 0.13; Val, 0.46; Ala, 0.57; Asp 0.75; Cys, 0.17; Glu, 0.94; Gly, 1.46; Ser, 0.65; Tyr, 0.35.

<sup>3</sup>Linear effect of increasing conditioning time during pelleting (ie 30, 60, and 180 s).

**Table 6.** Digestible energy (DE), metabolizable energy (ME), and apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of dry matter and gross energy.<sup>1</sup>

Item,	Mash	Retention time, s			SEM	<i>P</i> <	
		30	60	180		Treatment	Linear <sup>2</sup>
ATTD of dry matter, %	86.76	87.79	87.42	87.50	0.32	0.135	0.676
ATTD of gross energy, %	85.14 <sup>b</sup>	87.48 <sup>a</sup>	87.06 <sup>a</sup>	87.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.63	0.001	0.545
DE, kcal/kg of dry matter	3973	3963	3969	4004	28.66	0.437	0.112
ME, kcal/kg of dry matter	3781	3787	3767	3812	43.26	0.671	0.337
ME: DE, %	95.07	95.66	94.92	95.19	0.60	0.655	0.683

Means within a row lacking a common superscript letter (a and b) are different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup>N = 9.

<sup>2</sup>Linear effect of increasing conditioning time during pelleting (ie 30, 60, and 180 s).

increasing the retention time during conditioning resulted in increased AID and SID of most AA. The observation of increased AID and SID of AA in the diets pelleted using 180 s of retention time, compared with the 30 s retention time, may be a result of the additional time that the moisture in the conditioner could penetrate the feed and enhance the reactions produced by the heat treatment. Water diffusion is 100 times slower than heat diffusion (Thomas and van der Poel 2020), resulting in a longer time needed for a particle to be hydrated even in the presence of sufficient moisture, compared with the time needed to reach a higher temperature. Moisture is not only one of the most important contributors to the binding of particles in the pellet (Thomas and van der Poel 1996) which results in a more durable pellet (Cutlip et al. 2008; Abdollahi et al. 2010; 2013; Evans et al. 2021), but water is also an

important factor in the reactions that might result in increased CP and AA digestibility. It is hypothesized that the extended residence of the feed in the conditioner contributed to a greater absorption of moisture in the feed, which in turn it might have facilitated protein denaturation, inactivation of antinutritional factors, and starch gelatinization during the formation of the pellet that resulted in the observed increased digestibility of CP and AA.

The increase in the ATTD of GE in the pelleted diets compared with the meal diet is in agreement with published data (Wondra et al. 1995; Le Gall et al. 2009; Rojas et al. 2016; Lee et al. 2024). Gelatinization of starch is considered the main reason for the increase in ATTD of GE in diets fed to pigs (Lancheros et al. 2020). The high heat and moisture conditions during pelleting can promote the process of gelatinization in

the grains in the feed. Due to the swelling and leaking of starch out of the granules (Hoseney 1986), starch becomes more available for the endogenous enzymes needed for digestion, which may result in increased absorption of glucose in the small intestine. Changes in conditioning temperature and time may contribute to increased starch gelatinization in pelleted diets (Lewis et al. 2015), but conditioning temperature may be less important than the effect of the feed being pushed through the pellet die where friction temperature is generated. Under the conditions of the present experiment, increasing the retention time in the conditioner did not translate into an increase in the ATTD of GE, which could be an indication of minimal change in the concentration of gelatinized starch with increasing conditioning retention time. Limited research has been conducted to determine the effect of pelleting on the ATTD of fat (Le Gall et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2024) although the contribution of fat to the total GE energy of diets is significant due to its caloric density. An increase in ATTD of fat in pelleted diets compared with mash diets has been observed (Lee et al. 2024). However, due to the low inclusion of fat in the diets used in this experiment it is unlikely this was a major contributor to the increased ATTD of GE.

The results observed for DE and ME contrast with reported values, indicating increased DE and ME in pelleted diets compared with mash diets (Rojas et al. 2016; Lee et al. 2024). However, results in the present experiment may have been influenced by the initial concentration of GE in the treatment diets. The mash diet had a greater concentration of GE compared with the pelleted diets, which in turn results in greater concentrations of DE and ME. This observation can be partially explained by mixing, sampling, and analysis variation. Additionally, a possible explanation for the lower GE in pelleted diets could be explained by moisture gain during conditioning, however, the concentrations of DM did not differ between treatments. Although the differences in ATTD of GE between the mash treatment and the pelleted treatments were significant, the difference is not greater than 2.5% units of ATTD, whereas the difference in GE concentrations is greater than 100 kcal/kg. This results in similar concentrations of DE and ME regardless of the greater ATTD of GE observed in pelleted diets. Furthermore, the observation of no differences in the metabolizability of DE among treatments can be an explanation for the observation of no differences on the concentrations of DE and ME across pelleted treatments. This indicates that although the ATTD of GE was greater in pelleted diets compared with mash diets, it did not influence the capacity of the pigs to further utilize the energy once it was absorbed.

In conclusion, extended retention times in the conditioner prior to pelleting increased the AID and SID of CP and most AA. In contrast, the ATTD of GE was not affected by the extended conditioning time, but it was improved by pelleting. These results indicate that increasing retention time as a strategy to enhance pellet quality or reduce microbial load can be implemented without compromising the digestibility of energy or nutrients.

## Funding

None declared.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Abdollahi, M. R., V. Ravindran, T. J. Wester, G. Ravindran, and D. V. Thomas. 2010. Influence of conditioning temperature on performance, apparent metabolizable energy, ileal digestibility of starch and nitrogen and the quality of pellets, in broiler starters fed maize- and sorghum-based diets. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 162:106–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.08.017>
- Abdollahi, M. R., V. Ravindran, and B. Svihus. 2013. Pelleting of broiler diets: an overview with emphasis on pellet quality and nutritional value. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 179:1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.10.011>
- Adams, J. B. 1991. Review: Enzyme inactivation during heat processing of food-stuffs. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 26:1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1991.tb01136.x>
- Adeola, O. 2001. Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. In: A. J. Lewis, and L. L. Southern, editors, *Swine nutrition*. CRC Press, Washington, D.C., USA. p. 903-916
- Adeola, O., P. C. Xue, A. J. Cowieson, and K. M. Ajuwon. 2016. Basal endogenous losses of amino acids in protein nutrition research for swine and poultry. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 221:274–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.06.004>
- Ajandouz, E. H., and A. Puigserver. 1999. Nonenzymatic browning reaction of essential amino acids: effect of pH on caramelization and maillard reaction kinetics. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 47:1786–1793. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf980928z>
- AOAC. 2019. Official methods of analysis of AOAC int. 21st ed. AOAC Int., Rockville, MD, USA.
- ASAE. 2012. Densified products for bulk handling—definitions and method. ASAE standard S269.5, ASABE. St Joseph, MI, USA. pp. 91.
- Behnk, K. C. 2014. Feed pelleting reference guide: Section 2: Chapter 9: Atmospheric conditioning. Retrieved from: [https://img.feedstrategy.com/files/base/wattglobalmedia/all/image/static/feedstrategy/feed-pelleting-reference-guide/2-9\\_Atmospheric\\_Conditioning.pdf](https://img.feedstrategy.com/files/base/wattglobalmedia/all/image/static/feedstrategy/feed-pelleting-reference-guide/2-9_Atmospheric_Conditioning.pdf), June 8th, 2025.
- Boltz, T. P. et al. 2019. The effect of feed manufacture techniques on pathogen reduction and feed nutrient value. Available from ProQuest One Academic. Retrieved from <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4849&context=etd>, June 11th, 2025.
- Borojeni, F. G., B. Svihus, H. G. von Reichenbach, and J. Zentek. 2016. The effects of hydrothermal processing on feed hygiene, nutrient availability, intestinal microbiota, and morphology in poultry—a review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 220:187–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.07.010>
- Cochrane, R. A. et al. 2017. Effect of pelleting on survival of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus-contaminated feed. *J. Anim. Sci.* 95:1170–1178. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016.0961>
- Cutlip, S. E. et al. 2008. The effect of steam-conditioning practices on pellet quality and growing broiler nutritional value. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 17:249–261. <https://doi.org/10.3382/japr.2007-00081>
- dos Santos, R. O. F. et al. 2020. Effect of conditioning temperature and retention time on pellet quality, ileal digestibility, and growth performance of broiler chickens. *Livest. Sci.* 240:104110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104110>
- Dunmire, K. M. C. R. Stark, and C. B. Paulk. 2021. Kansas State University Quality Feed Manufacturing Guide: Pelleting. Retrieved from: [https://www.grains.k-state.edu/research/animalfeedandpetfood/feed\\_science\\_research\\_extension/quality\\_assurance\\_guidelines\\_resources/1.4%20Pelleting\\_FORMATTED.pdf](https://www.grains.k-state.edu/research/animalfeedandpetfood/feed_science_research_extension/quality_assurance_guidelines_resources/1.4%20Pelleting_FORMATTED.pdf), May 26th, 2025.
- Dunmire, K. M., D. A. Lopez, Y. Zhang, C. K. Jones, Y. Li, J. C. Woodworth, R. D. Goodband, M. D. Tokach, C. R. Stark, H. H. Stein, and C. B. Paulk. 2024. Effect of the pelleting process on diet formulations with varying levels of crystalline amino acids and reducing sugars on digestibility in growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 102:skad423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skad423>
- Evans, C. E. 2023. Evaluation of feed processing techniques on feed quality and monogastric nutrition. [Diss.]. Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA.

- Evans, C. E., M. Saensukjaroenphon, J. T. Gebhardt, C. R. Stark, and C. B. Paulk. 2021. Effects of conditioning temperature and pellet mill die speed on pellet quality and relative stabilities of phytase and xylanase. *Transl. Anim. Sci.* 5:txab043. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txab043>
- González-Vega, J. C., B. G. Kim, J. K. Htoo, A. Lemme, and H. H. Stein. 2011. Amino acid digestibility in heated soybean meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 89:3617–3625. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2010-3465>
- Hoseney, R. C. 1986. Principles of cereal science and technology. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc, St Paul, MN, USA.
- Jacobs, B. M., J. F. Patience, K. J. Stalder, W. A. Dozier, and B. J. Kerr. 2011. Evaluation of drying methods on nitrogen and energy concentrations in pig feces and urine, and on poultry excreta. Iowa State University Animal Industry Report. 8. [https://doi.org/10.31274/ans\\_air-180814-94](https://doi.org/10.31274/ans_air-180814-94)
- Kim, B. G., and H. H. Stein. 2009. A spreadsheet program for making a balanced latin square design. *Rev. Colomb. de Cienc. Pecu.* 22:6–596. <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.rccp.324493>
- Lancheros, J. P., C. D. Espinosa, and H. H. Stein. 2020. Effects of particle size reduction, pelleting, and extrusion on the nutritional value of ingredients and diets fed to pigs: a review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 268:114603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114603>
- Le Gall, M., M. Warpechowski, Y. Jaguelin-Peyraud, and J. Noble. 2009. Influence of dietary fibre level and level and pelleting on the digestibility of energy and nutrients in growing pigs and adult sows. *Anim.* 3:352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108003728>
- Lee, S. A., C. B. Paulk, and H. H. Stein. 2025. Interactive effects of pelleting and particle size reduction of corn on ileal digestibility of starch, acid-hydrolyzed ether extract, and amino acids in corn-soybean meal diets fed to growing pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 327:116437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2025.116437>
- Lee, S. A., D. A. Rodriguez, C. B. Paulk, and H. H. Stein. 2024. Pelleting and particle size reduction of corn increase net energy and digestibility of fiber, protein, and fat in corn-soybean meal diets fed to group-housed pigs. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 15:52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-024-01004-9>
- Lewis, L. L., C. R. Stark, A. C. Fahrenholz, J. R. Bergstrom, and C. K. Jones. 2015. Evaluation of conditioning time and temperature on gelatinized starch and vitamin retention in a pelleted swine diet. *J. Anim. Sci.* 93:615–619. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2014-8074>
- Martins, S. I. F. S., W. M. Jongen, and M. A. J. S. van Boekel. 2000. A review of maillard reaction in food and implications to kinetic modelling. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 11:364–373. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0924-2244\(01\)00022-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0924-2244(01)00022-x)
- Myers, W. D., P. A. Ludden, V. Nayigihugu, and B. W. Hess. 2004. Technical note: a procedure for the preparation and quantitative analysis of samples for titanium dioxide. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82:179–183. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2004.821179x>
- NRC. 2012. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Rojas, O. J., E. Vinyeta, and H. H. Stein. 2016. Effects of pelleting, extrusion, or extrusion and pelleting on energy and nutrient digestibility in diets containing different levels of fiber and fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 94:1951–1960. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-0137>
- Salazar-Villanea, S et al. 2018. Apparent ileal digestibility of maillard reaction products in growing pigs. *PLoS One.* 13:e0199499. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199499>
- Schofield, E. K. and American Feed Industry Association. 2005. Feed Manufacturing Technology V. American Feed Industry Association. Arlington, VA, USA
- Stein, H. H., B. Sève, M. F. Fuller, P. J. Moughan, and C. F. M. de Lange. Committee on Terminology to Report AA Bioavailability and Digestibility 2007. Invited review: amino acid bioavailability and digestibility in pig feed ingredients: terminology and application. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85:172–180. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2005-742>
- Stein, H. H., C. F. Shipley, and R. A. Easter. 1998. Technical note: a technique for inserting a T-cannula into the distal ileum of pregnant sows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76:1433–1436. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1998.7651433x>
- Svihus, B., and O. Zimonja. 2011. Chemical alterations with nutritional consequences due to pelleting animal feeds: a review. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 51:590–596. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN11004>
- Thomas, M, and A. F. B. van der Poel. 1996. Physical quality of pelleted animal feed 1. Criteria for pellet quality. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 61:89–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401\(96\)00949-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(96)00949-2)
- Thomas, M, and A. F. B. van der Poel. 2020. Fundamental factors in feed manufacturing: towards a unifying conditioning/pelleting framework. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 268:114612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114612>
- Wang, T et al. 2019. Effect of conditioning temperature on pelleting characteristics, nutrient digestibility and gut microbiota of sorghum-based diets for growing pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 254:114227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.114227>
- Wondra, K. J., J. D. Hancock, K. C. Behnke, R. H. Hines, and C. R. Stark. 1995. Effects of particle size and pelleting on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and stomach morphology in finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73:757–763. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1995.733757x>
- Zhang, J., J. Wang, M. Li, S. Guo, and Y. Lv. 2022. Effects of heat treatment on protein molecular structure and in vitro digestion in whole soybeans with different moisture content. *Food Res. Int.* 155:111115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111115>